

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA**

**WILLIAM A. LARUE,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**2:04 CV 26  
(Maxwell)**

**WILLIAM S. HAINES,**

**Defendant.**

**ORDER**

It will be recalled that on March 26, 2004, *pro se* Plaintiff William A. LaRue, an inmate at the Huttonsville Correction Center in Huttonsville, West Virginia, filed the above-styled civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 with the Court.

It will further be recalled that the case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John S. Kaull in accordance with Standing Order of Reference for Prisoner Litigation Filed Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Standing Order No. 3).

After conducting an initial screening and review, United States Magistrate Judge John S. Kaull issued a Report And Recommendation on January 24, 2005, wherein he recommended that the above-styled civil rights action be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915 and 1915A, for failure to state a claim and for being frivolous.

In his Report And Recommendation, Magistrate Judge Kaull provided Mr. LaRue with ten (10) days from the date of said Report And Recommendation in which to file objections thereto and advised Mr. LaRue that a failure to timely file objections would result in the waiver of his right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based upon said Report And Recommendation.

The Court's review of the docket in the above-styled civil rights action has revealed that no objections to Magistrate Judge Kaull's January 24, 2005, Report And Recommendation have been filed by Mr. LaRue and that this matter is now ripe for review.

Upon consideration of Magistrate Judge Kaull's January 24, 2005, Report and Recommendation, and having received no written objections thereto<sup>1</sup>, it is

**ORDERED** that the Report And Recommendation entered by United States Magistrate Judge John S. Kaull on January 24, 2005, be, and the same is hereby, **ACCEPTED** in whole and this civil action be disposed of in accordance with the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915 and 1915A, the Plaintiff's Complaint be, and the same is hereby, **DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE**, for failure to state a claim and for being frivolous, and **STRICKEN** from the docket of this Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment for the Defendant. It is further

**ORDERED** that, should the Plaintiff desire to appeal the decision of this Court, written notice of appeal must be received by the Clerk of this Court within thirty (30) days from the date of the entry of the Judgment Order, pursuant to Rule 4 of the

---

<sup>1</sup>The failure of a party to objection to a Report And Recommendation waives the party's right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based thereon and, additionally, relieves the Court of any obligation to conduct a *de novo* review of the issues presented. See Wells v. Shriners Hospital, 109 F.3d 198, 199-200 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 148-153 (1985).

Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. The \$5.00 filing fee for the notice of appeal and the \$250.00 docketing fee should also be submitted with the notice of appeal. In the alternative, at the time the notice of appeal is submitted, the Plaintiff may, in accordance with the provisions of Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, seek leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

**ENTER:** March   1  , 2006

**/S/ Robert E. Maxwell**  
United States District Judge